



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/082,247

05/20/98

NADEAU

EXAMINER

P-2821RI

021839

Г

HM12/1222

BURNS DOANE SWECKER &

MATHIS

P 0 BOX 1404

ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404

HOUTTEMAN,S

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

1655 DATE MAILED:

12/22/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/082,247

App__nt(s)

Nadeau et al.

Examiner

Scott Houtteman

Group Art Unit 1655



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 28, 1999</u>	
⚠ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay#935 C.D. 11; 453 O),G, 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expireonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time magaz CFR 1.136(a).	II THE DELIGIOUS TO ICODOLISC WILL COMPAGE WILL
Disposition of Claim	is loss pending in the applicat
∑ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 21-50	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S. ☐ All ☐ Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 	approved ☐disapproved. S.C. § 119(a)-(d). documents have been
received in Application from the International *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 to	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

1. Applicant's response, filed 9/28/99, has been carefully considered with the following effect:

The objection and rejections of paragraphs 2-4, Office action mailed 6/22/99, have been withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments.

The objections and rejections of paragraphs 6, 7 and 9, Office action mailed 6/22/99, have been maintained.

The objection of paragraphs 1, Office action mailed 6/22/99, has been held in abeyance.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 21-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. They contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, for reasons of record (new matter).
- 4. Applicant argues, briefly that support is found for example in 6 passages and that the passage warning against the use of PCR leading to "high levels of background signal," support the "generic" description and definitions referenced above.

These arguments are not persuasive. The argument has not established why, if the discussion of amplification is generic, there is no mention of PCR coupled amplification only SDA

coupled amplification. Similarly, the argument does not address why the plain meaning of the recited passage, warning about high background when PCR is used, should be disregarded.

- 5. Claims 21-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, for reasons of record.
- 6. Applicant argues that "genericness of the claims is embodied in the fact that the signal primer is situated down stream of the amplification primer" and that "alleged undesirable high levels of background signal are not manifest in a primer based amplification method.
- 7. These arguments are not persuasive. The argument has not explained why the warnings explicit in the specification are either taken out of context or reflect some misunderstanding of the invention as disclosed in the specification. Furthermore, the fact that "undesirable high levels of background signal are not manifest in a primer based amplification method" is not the issue, The issue is that was enabled, as of the filing date, based on the disclosure of this application and what flows naturally from the disclosure.
- 8. Claims 43-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mullis et al. US Pat. 4,683,195; 7/1987 (Mullis) or Urdea, US Pat. 5,200,314; 4/1993, for reasons of record.

 Applicants argument is not persuasive. The argument merely offers conclusions: the claimed

signal primer has "unique properties" of hybridizing down stream of an amplification primer. The argument does not explain how the structure of completely separate and generically defined products (the amplification primer, and a generic target nucleic acid) can, in any way, limit the structure of the claimed product.

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center 1600 Fax numbers are (703) 305-3014 and 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Houtteman whose telephone number is (703) 308-3885. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:30 AM - 3:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached at (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Scott Houtteman December 20, 1999 SCOTT W. HOUTTEMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER